arXiv:1606.03467v1 [quant-ph] 10 Jun 2016

# Circular Motion of a Small Oscillator in a Zero-Point Field Without External Forces: Is It Possible?

Yefim S. Levin

Department of Mathematics, Salem State University, Salem MA, 01970

**Abstract**

A small dipole oscillator moving along a circular trajectory in zero-point electromagnetic field (ZPF) and with a polarization normal to the rotation plane, is considered. Temporal periodicity conditions are imposed on ZPF, associated with the way the rotating oscillator "observes" ZPF. They are similar to spatial boundary conditions in Casimir phenomenon and therefore result in ZPF spectrum change from continuous one to a discrete one and, as a consequence, an effective temperature of the modified ZPF [1]. The average centripetal average force on the oscillator, originating from this modified ZPF scattered by the oscillator in the near zone, is calculated in terms of the bilinear correlation functions of electromagnetic field. After renormalization of the correlation function, which physically means extraction of a pure effect of periodicity, the force has a finite value. All calculations are carried out using the methodology of stochastic electrodynamics. The radial component of the force is directed to the center of rotation. In non relativistic case and for oscillator frequency smaller than rotation frequency, the force turns out to be proportional to the rotation radius. Such result could mean a possibility that micro motion of the oscillator in ZPF sustains its average circular motion without any other external forces.Though the estimation done for the point-like electron shows that the effect is not observable because the radius of such circular electron motion would have been much smaller than the classical electron radius, $R \ll r_{cl}$, when our semi classical approach to the electromagnetic problem does not work. It is well expected result and considered as the first step in the application of the idea in the quark world governed by non abelian colored fields, subject of the next paper. The problem under discussion can be associated conceptually with the self-consistent approach to the particle-field problems [2], when the field configuration and particle motion are adjusted to each other.

## 1 Introduction.

This work was inspired by two reasons. The first one can be addressed to the way how thermal effects associated with uniform acceleration of a detector in a vacuum are considered, both in quantum

and classical theories [3], [4]. These approaches lead to the similar conclusions but there are some discrepancies between them to be expected which would be useful to explore.

The widely accepted in the literature description of a warmed radiation observed by a quantum detector uniformly accelerating through a vacuum is explicitly based on the assumption that the detector at a quantum state moves along a classical trajectory. It is obviously in contradiction to Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle. On the other hand, in the classical approach there is no such problem. The detector is envisioned as an uniformly accelerated classical oscillator and the classical trajectory is assigned to the oscillator center or, in other words, to an average location of the oscillator. So we could expect the same situation to be in the quantum case - distinguishing " instantaneous " and average motions of the oscillator. In quantum language it means that an average location of the quantum oscillator should be associated with its expectation value and therefore a "classical trajectory " of the quantum detector can not be selected arbitrary, without connection with its inner quantum states. In other words, in any consistent quantum approach a "classical trajectory" of the oscillator and its quantum state should be self-consistent, which is probably not easy to achieve [2]. Returning to the classical case [4] of uniformly accelerating oscillator we see that there is no such strong connection between the motion of the oscillator and its center. Nevertheless, as the first step to achieve self-consistency mentioned above for the quantum case, it would be interesting to find a classical configuration when such self-consistency exists. It means that a motion of the classical object ( electric charge or oscillator) through the vacuum ( also described in classical terms ) near some trajectory changes the vacuum the way that mechanical reactions arising from the interaction with this modified vacuum keep an average location of the object on this trajectory. In other words, the system consisting of particle and field vacuum should be self-consistent.

The second reason is associated with our curiosity: can such classical self-consistency idea be extended to the quark-gluon world? With the classical Wong equations [5] in hand one can describe quark or, more exactly, color particle trajectory. However the concept of classical vacuum of color field in the Wong theory is not present.

The Wong classical approach was developed at the time when quantization of the Yang-Mills equations was not completed. Now, with completed theory of quantization, from the quantum field theory of gauge fields, one can see that at some physical situations the classical picture represented by the Wong equations can be supplemented by the concept of ( let us call it ) Stochastic Gluon Vacuum (

SGV ). Indeed, at small distances , when running coupling constant is very small ( asymptotic freedom ) and perturbation theory works well, one can expect the situation in Quantum Chromodynamics ( QCD ) very similar to the Quantum Electrodynamics ( QED ) and its classical analog - Stochastic Electrodynamics ( SED ). The SQV, in full analogy with SED, can be described as a set of all possible plane gluon waves with random phases. Then self-consistent trajectories in both SED and Wong/SGV theory can be calculated in a similar way.

In this work we investigate a self-consistent SED model even though we expect some unphysical ( not observable ) results. We consider this work as the first step to a more " realistic " model in Wong/SGV theory, the subject of the next paper.

The system we discuss in this work consists of two parts, a dipole oscillator and stochastic zero-point electromagnetic field (stochastic vacuum). Interaction between these parts changes both the oscillator motion and the vacuum. We assume that these changes can result in appearance of a stable on the average configuration. The most likely candidate for such stable average configuration is the oscillator moving along an average circular orbit in the ZPF with a (modified) discrete spectrum. This system is assumed to be self-consistent. The oscillator, due to its rotation, observes the vacuum discrete spectrum. The mechanical reaction from the modified vacuum is an average force keeping the oscillator on the circular orbit. The calculation of this average vacuum force is a central point of our work. An analogy of this force with the Casimir force can be be useful to understand its origin.

The Casimir force is considered as a result of finite and observable changes in the infinite zero-point energy of the vacuum which are connected with spatial boundary conditions. In this work we consider vacuum forces, also associated with vacuum fluctuations change, which however are due to "temporal boundaries " or periodicity. For illustration of this change, let us consider gedanken measurements of a two-point correlation function of the vacuum field made by a point-like observer uniformly rotating about some point in the vacuum. The correlation function depends on the difference of proper times of these two points, $\tau_2 - \tau_1$, because of stationarity of the vacuum field. Moreover, we have to expect the results of such measurements, made by the observer participating in a periodic motion, to be the same for any loop, and the correlation function should satisfy periodic condition that is $CF(\tau_2 - \tau_1) = CF((\tau_2 - \tau_1) + n \times T)$ ,where $n = \pm 1, 2, 3, ...$ and T is a period of rotation. As a result of this periodicity condition the observed spectrum of the vacuum field correlation function should contain harmonics with frequencies multiple to the angular velocity of rotation, the effect well

known from Fourier series theory, and differ from the original vacuum continuous spectrum. Below we will derive an expression for such a force exerting on a small z-oscillator uniformly rotating in xy-plane in the vacuum represented as a classic zero-point electromagnetic field. Due to the vacuum fluctuations change, the vacuum force experienced by the rotating object has ( after renormalization) a finite value.

According to our calculations the force has the component which is directed to a rotation center and could contribute to a net centripetal force keeping the moving object on a circle. Then there is a great temptation to see if this vacuum force alone, without any other external forces, can support this rotation? On this way we obtain relationship between the mass and angular velocity of the oscillator stationary rotating in the vacuum without any externally applied forces. It contains the Plank's constant and therefore has a quantum character, even though the computation is done in the frame of classical stochastic electrodynamics. It is estimated for the case of non relativistic rotation.

Speaking about self-consistency, we talked above, one has to mention the approach [2], [7] (see also references) which did not effect our work but conceptually is very closely related with ours. The approach is developed as a stochastic theory of relativistic particles moving in a quantum field. Even though the quantum field theory is the starting point of this approach, a particle in the field environment can participate in different regimes of the motion: quantum, stochastic, and semi classical. The main philosophy of the approach is that a classical trajectory can not be prescribed, it has to be determined.

We consider here only a steady state situation and choose a classical trajectory of a particle which is self-consistent with the zero point field in which it moves and which it modifies.

## 2 The Average Force Calculation Method.

The average non zero net force exerted on an oscillator should be an expression bilinear in terms of an applied stochastic electromagnetic field, $(\vec{E}_a, \vec{B}_a)$, because a linear expression of such field vanishes after averaging. So it is different from the Lorentz force. To find such force we follow the approach developed in [8].

The force can be calculated by integrating the Maxwell stress tensor over the spherical surface just

outside the particle,

$$F^{(i)} = \oint da_{(j)} T^{(ij)}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$T^{(ij)} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(E^{(i)}E^{(j)} + B^{(i)}B^{(j)} - (1/2)\delta^{ij}(\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2)), \tag{2}$$

The net fields in this expression are $\vec{E} = \vec{E}_a + \vec{E}_d$ and $\vec{B} = \vec{B}_a + \vec{B}_d$, where $(\vec{E}_a, \vec{B}_a)$ is an applied electromagnetic field defined below and $(\vec{E}_d, \vec{B}_d)$ is the field scattered by the particle interacting with the applied field. The integration surface is taken very closely to the particle. So the scattered field is assumed to be taken in the near zone in dipole approximation:

$$\vec{E}_d \approx \frac{3\hat{n}(\hat{n}\vec{p} - \vec{p})}{r^3}, \quad \vec{B}_d \approx -\frac{\hat{n}\times\vec{p}}{r^2}. \tag{3}$$

Here r is the radial distance from the particle, $\vec{p}$ is its time-varying dipole moment induced by the applied field and to be defined below , and $\hat{n}$ is the outward directed unit normal vector on the sphere surrounding the particle.

Only the cross terms in $T^{(ij)}$ are of interest. So

$$\vec{F} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\oint da[(\hat{n}\vec{E}_a)\vec{E}_d + (\hat{n}\vec{E}_d)\vec{E}_a + (\hat{n}\vec{B}_a)\vec{B}_d - \hat{n}(\vec{E}_a\vec{E}_d + \vec{B}_a\vec{B}_d).] \tag{4}$$

Because the particle and the radius of the integration surface are assumed to be small, $\vec{E}_a$ and $\vec{B}_a$ can be expanded in a Taylor series around $\vec{x} = \vec{x}_0$, the location of the particle. The leading non zero contributions to the force come from the zero-order in $\vec{B}_a$ and the first order term in $\vec{E}_a$ :

$$\vec{B}_a(\vec{x}, t) \approx \vec{B}_0, \quad E_a^{(i)}(\vec{x}, t) \approx E_0^{(i)} + r\hat{n}\nabla E_0^{(i)}. \tag{5}$$

Then after the angular integration the force takes the form

$$\tilde{F}^{(i)} = \frac{2}{3}(\dot{\vec{p}}\times\vec{B}_0)^{(i)} + \frac{1}{3}p_{(j)}\partial^{(i)}E_0^{(j)} + \frac{2}{3}p^{(j)}\partial_{(j)}E_0^{(i)} \equiv F^{(i)} + F_1^{(i)} + F_2^{(i)}. \tag{6}$$

We are going to use the method to calculate the average force exerted on a small oscillator *moving* on a circle with radius R and angular velocity $\Omega_0$, through random classical radiation according to

$$\vec{R} = (R\cos\Omega_0 t, R\sin\Omega_0 t, 0). \tag{7}$$

So the method, developed in [8] for a static situation, in our case should be modified.

In our case the force (6), obtained for a body *at rest*, is computed in an instantaneous inertial

reference frame $I_\tau$, with the oscillator equilibrium point also *at rest* in it, and $I_\tau$ is defined by 4 vectors $\mu^i_{(k)}(\tau)$ of a Frenet-Serret orthogonal tetrad [9](55):

$$\mu^i_{(1)}(\tau) = (\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha, 0, 0),$$
$$\mu^i_{(2)}(\tau) = (-\gamma\sin\alpha, \gamma\cos\alpha, 0, \beta\gamma),$$
$$\mu^i_{(3)}(\tau) = (0, 0, 1, 0),$$
$$\mu^i_{(4)}(\tau) = \frac{U^i(\tau)}{c} = (-\beta\gamma\sin\alpha, \beta\gamma\cos\alpha, 0, \gamma), \tag{8}$$

where $U^i(\tau)$ is a 4 vector velocity of the oscillator equilibrium point in the laboratory system at its proper time $\tau$ , $\beta = v/c = \Omega_0 R/c, \gamma = (1-\beta^2)^{-1/2}$, and $\alpha = \Omega_0\gamma\tau$. Besides

$$\mu^i_{(a)}\mu_{(b)i} = \eta_{(ab)}, \;\; \eta_{(ab)} = diag(1,1,1,-1), \;\; a,b = 1,2,3,4, \quad g_{ik} = diag(1,1,1,-1). \tag{9}$$

All quantities associated with instantaneous frames $I_\tau$ in (6),dipole momentum $p^{(j)}$, zero-point electric $E_0^{(j)}$ and magnetic $B_0^{(j)}$ fields ( they are described below ), are supplied with indexes in parentheses. Particularly, 4-velocity of the equilibrium point in $I_\tau$ is

$$U_{(a)} = \mu^i_{(a)}(\tau)U_i(\tau) = \mu^i_{(a)}(\tau)U^k(\tau)g_{ik} = (0,0,0,-c). \tag{10}$$

So, indeed, in the reference system S, consisting of all instantaneous inertial reference frames $I_\tau$, the oscillator equilibrium point is at rest at any proper time $\tau$ and its spatial coordinates are $x_0^{(i)} = (0,0,0)$. In this reference system, the z-oscillator coordinates are $x^{(i)} = (0,0,z(\tau))$, and dipole moment is $p^{(i)}(\tau) = (0,0,ez(\tau))$.

The expression for the force $F^{(i)}$ was found for an arbitrary applied electromagnetic field but in an electric-dipole approximation. It can be a problem to match this restriction with a random classical radiation field, which spreads over all frequencies from 0 to $\infty$, because electric-dipole approximation can be broken for high frequencies due to very small wave lengths. So the final result should be checked regarding validity of the electric-dipole approximation.

Three more comments regarding our calculations of the force should be added here. First, all our calculations are done in the frame of the stochastic electrodynamics (SED). [1] Second, it is known that

[1] There is an opinion that SED can not be alternative to QED [6]. But nevertheless it is useful tool to get understanding or intuition of some problems. Besides there can be reasons to consider SED not as an alternative to QED but as a necessary and essential part of a full quantum theory. Indeed, Philip R. Johnson and B. L. Hu discussing in [2], p.4 " The view of the emergence of semi classical solutions as decoherent histories" formulated in [10] suggest to consider classical solutions of particle-field systems "in the context of how classical solutions arise from the quantum realm".

in the frame of SED the random classic radiation fields applied in our model to the rotating oscillator and therefore $\tilde{F}^{(i)}$ depend on random phases. The *observable* force exerted on the rotating oscillator can be obtained from $\tilde{F}^{(i)}$ only after averaging it over these random phases. It will be taken to be $< \tilde{F}^{(i)} >$, where the symbol $< ... >$ means averaging. Third, we use rotating Frenet-Serret orthogonal tetrads (other than more often referred Fermi-Walker tetrads) because it is convenient that vector $\mu^i_{(1)}(\tau)$ of the tetrad is directed along the radius of rotation, $\mu^i_{(2)}(\tau)$ is tangent to the rotation circle, and $\mu^i_{(3)}$ is perpendicular to the rotation plane at all times $\tau$.

# 3 Classical Electromagnetic Zero Point Radiation.

## 3.1 Applied Field at the Location of the Oscillator in the Laboratory System

The applied field, which was referred above as $\vec{E}_a, \vec{B}_a$ with index a, through which the oscillator moves, is classical electromagnetic zero point radiation. It has a form [11] :

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \int d^3k \hat{\epsilon}(\vec{k},\lambda) h_0(\omega) \cos[\vec{k}\vec{r} - \omega t - \Theta(\vec{k},\lambda)],$$
$$\vec{H}(\vec{r},t) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \int d^3k [\hat{k}, \hat{\epsilon}^(\vec{k},\lambda)] h_0(\omega) \cos[\vec{k}\vec{r} - \omega t - \Theta(\vec{k},\lambda)]. \quad (11)$$

where the $\theta(\vec{k},\lambda)$ are random phases distributed uniformly on the interval $(0, 2\pi)$ and independently for each wave vector $\vec{k}$ and polarization $\lambda$ of a plane wave,

$$\pi^2 h_0^2(\omega) = (1/2)\hbar\omega, \quad (12)$$

$$\langle \cos\theta(\vec{k}_1\lambda_1)\cos\theta(\vec{k}_2\lambda_2)\rangle = \langle \sin\theta(\vec{k}_1\lambda_1)\sin\theta(\vec{k}_2\lambda_2)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\delta^3(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2), \quad \langle \cos\theta(\vec{k}_1\lambda_1)\sin\theta(\vec{k}_2\lambda_2)\rangle = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \epsilon_i(\vec{k}\lambda)\epsilon_j(\vec{k}\lambda) = \delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i\hat{k}_j \quad (14)$$

It is convenient to use vector $\vec{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$ in spherical coordinates $\vec{k} = (k, \theta, \phi)$ to separate integration over k from integration over angles $\theta$ and $\phi$:

$$\int dk_x\, dk_y\, dk_z \Rightarrow \int dk\ k^2 \int d\theta d\phi\ \sin\theta \quad (15)$$

So vectors $\epsilon(\vec{k},\lambda)$ and $[\hat{k},\hat{\epsilon}(\vec{k},\lambda)]$ can also be expressed in terms of spherical k-coordinates $(k,\theta,\phi)$.

To find the electric and magnetic fields at the location of the oscillator center (we referred to them as $(\vec{E}_0,\vec{B}_0)$ ) one has to insert (7) into (11). It is easy to see then that the electric and magnetic fields at the location of the oscillator center are functions of time only, t in a lab system and $\tau$ in $I_\tau$, and do not depend on spatial coordinates. Even the $\vec{R}$-function (7) is a periodic in time, the obtained this way formal expressions for the fields are not periodic because of the term $\omega t$ in (11).

From observation point of view it does not look reasonable. The oscillator center motion is periodic and all oscillator observables also should be periodic, with the period $T = 2\pi/\Omega_0$. This time periodicity caused by the observation is similar to a spatial periodicity of the vacuum imposed by boundary conditions in Casimir effect. In other words, any observations, including the fields, made by the oscillator at time interval $0 < t < T$ should be identical with the measurements at interval $nT < t < (n+1)T$, where $n = 0, \pm1, \pm2, ....$

To achieve it , in the expressions for observable zero-point fields $\vec{E}_0$ and $\vec{H}_0$ continuous variable $\omega$ in (11) should be changed to a discrete one, $\omega_n = \Omega_0 n$, and integration over $k = \omega/c$ to summation over $k_n = \omega_n/c$:

$$\int_0^\infty dk\; k^2 f(k) \Rightarrow k_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa_n^2\; f(k_n). \tag{16}$$

Then the electric and magnetic components of the zero-point radiation field at the oscillator center location, **modified by the observation process**, become:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{E}_0(t_i) &= k_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \int do\, k_n^2\, \hat{\epsilon}(\hat{k},\lambda)\, h_0(\omega_n)\;\; \cos[\vec{k_n}\vec{r}(t_i) - \omega_n t_i - \Theta(\vec{k_n},\lambda)],\\
\vec{H}_0(t_i) &= k_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \int do\, k_n^2\, [\hat{k},\hat{\epsilon}(\hat{k},\lambda)]\, h_0(\omega_n)\; \cos[\vec{k_n}\vec{r}(t_i) - \omega_n t_i - \Theta(\vec{k_n},\lambda)],\\
\vec{k}_n &= k_n\hat{k},\;\; k_n = k_0\, n,\;\; k_0 = \frac{\Omega_0}{c},\;\; \omega_n = c\, k_n,\;\; do = d\theta\, d\phi\, \sin\theta,\\
\hat{k} &= (\hat{k}_x,\hat{k}_y,\hat{k}_z) = (\sin\theta\,\cos\phi,\, \sin\theta\,\sin\phi,\, \cos\theta\,).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We have introduced index $i = 1, 2$ to distinguish the fields at two different times, $t_1$ and $t_2$, which is necessary for further calculations. The unit vector $\hat{k}$ defines a direction of the wave vector.
The expressions for the average over random phases are transformed first to spherical momentum space [12], then to the case of discrete values of "k", and take the form:

$$\langle \cos\theta(\vec{k}_{n_1}\lambda_1)\cos\theta(\vec{k}_{n_2}\lambda_2)\rangle = \langle \sin\theta(\vec{k}_{n_1}\lambda_1)\sin\theta(\vec{k}_{n_2}\lambda_2)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\lambda_1\,\lambda_2}\,\frac{2}{k_0(k_0 n_1)^2}\,\delta_{n_1\,n_2}\delta(\hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_2). \tag{18}$$

The equation (14) does not depend on n and has the same form for both continuous and discrete spectrum.

### 3.2 Applied Field at the Location of the Oscillator in an Instantaneous Frame.

The expressions (17) are obtained in the laboratory system. In an instantaneous reference frame $I_\tau$, after Lorentz transform, corresponding expressions for the fields at the time $\tau_i = t_i/\gamma, \;\; i = 1, 2$, and at the location $r^{(k)} = (0, 0, 0)$ are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{(1)}(\tau_i) &= E_1(\tau_i)\gamma\cos\alpha_i + E_2(\tau_i)\gamma\sin\alpha_i + H_3(\tau_i)\beta\gamma, \\
E_{(2)}(\tau_i) &= E_1(\tau_i)(-\sin\alpha_i) + E_2(\tau_i)\cos\alpha_i, \\
E_{(3)}(\tau_i) &= E_3(\tau_i)\gamma - H_1(\tau_i)\beta\gamma\cos\alpha_i - H_2(\tau_i)\beta\gamma\sin\alpha_i, \\
H_{(1)}(\tau_i) &= H_1(\tau_i)\gamma\cos\alpha_i + H_2(\tau_i)\gamma\sin\alpha_i - E_3(\tau_i)\beta\gamma, \\
H_{(2)}(\tau_i) &= H_1(\tau_i)(-\sin\alpha_i) + H_2(\tau_i)\cos\alpha_i, \\
H_{(3)}(\tau_i) &= H_3(\tau_i)\gamma + E_1(\tau_i)\beta\gamma\cos\alpha_i + E_2(\tau_i)\beta\gamma\sin\alpha_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \Omega_0\gamma\tau_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{20}$$

Quantities with an index in parenthesis are to be taken for the field components in instantaneous inertial reference frames $I_\tau$. The quantities with an index without parenthesis, for the field components in the laboratory system, are described in (17), with $t_i = \gamma\tau_i$. The quantities $\beta$ and $\gamma$ do not do not depend on $\tau$ and are not supplied by index i.

It is easy to see from (19) and (20) that in terms of $\tau$ all these fields are periodic with the period $T_\gamma = 2\pi/\Omega_\gamma$, with $\Omega_\gamma = \Omega_0\gamma$.

## 4 Calculation of the Force $< F^{(i)} > \equiv < \frac{2}{3}(\dot{\vec{p}} \times \vec{H}_0)^{(i)} >$

### 4.1 Rate of Change of the Dipole of the z-Oscillator in Zero-Point Field.

To calculate the rate of change of the z-oscillator dipole, $\dot{p}^{(z)}(\tau) \equiv e\, v^{(z)}(\tau) = e\frac{dz}{d\tau}$, we use the motion equation of a z-oscillator in the coordinate system defined as a set of all instantaneous inertial frames

$I_\tau$ . It is taken in the form similar to (14) in [4] for hyperbolic motion and justified exactly the same way:

$$m\frac{d^2z}{d\tau^2} = -m\omega_0^2 z + \frac{2}{3}\frac{e^2}{c^3}[\frac{d^3z}{d\tau^3} - \frac{a^2}{c^2}\frac{dz}{d\tau}] + eE_0^{(z)}(0,\tau), \quad z \equiv x^{(3)} \tag{21}$$

The only difference between them is that the constant acceleration $\vec{a}$ in this equation, unlike the hyperbolic motion, is centripetal and directed against axis $x^{(1)}$ because 4-vector acceleration of the observer in the associated instantaneous reference frame $I_\tau$ is constant in both magnitude and direction

$$\dot{U}_{(a)} = \mu^i_{(a)}\dot{U}_i = (-R\Omega_0^2\gamma^2, 0, 0, 0). \tag{22}$$

Solution to (21) is

$$\dot{p}^{(3)}(\tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^2}{m}\frac{(i\omega_n)\exp(i\omega_n\tau)}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_n^2 + i\Gamma(\omega_n^3 + \omega_n\frac{a^2}{c^2})}\frac{1}{T_\gamma}\int_{-T_\gamma/2}^{T_\gamma/2} d\tau_1 E_0^{(3)}(\tau_1)\exp(-i\omega_n\tau_1),$$

$$\omega_n = \frac{2\pi}{T_\gamma}n, \;\; n = 0, \pm1, \pm2, ..., \;\; T_\gamma = \frac{T}{\gamma}, \quad \Gamma = \frac{2}{3}\frac{e^2}{mc^3}. \tag{23}$$

It is a rate of change of the dipole of the rotating z-oscillator induced by zero-point field and which is used in (6).

### 4.2 The Force $< F^{(x)} >$ in Terms of the Correlation Function and the Oscillator Selectivity Function.

The (x)-component of the component of the force (6), which is due to the oscillator dipole change, in an instantaneous inertial reference frame $I_\tau$ after averaging is

$$< \tilde{F}^{(x)} >= \frac{2}{3} < (\dot{\vec{p}}(\tau) \times \vec{H}_0(\tau))^{(x)} >, \tag{24}$$

where the symbol $< ... >$ means averaging over random phases and is discussed in the next subsections below.

Using (23) this expression can be written in a more transparent and convenient for calculation and interpretation form :

$$< \tilde{F}^{(x)} >= -\frac{2}{3}\frac{e^2}{mc}\frac{1}{T_\gamma}\int_{-T_\gamma/2}^{T_\gamma/2} d(\tau_1 - \tau_2) f_d(\tau_1 - \tau_2) < E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_d, \tag{25}$$

where

$$< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_d = \frac{\hbar c k_0^4}{2\pi^2}\int do[-\hat{k}_x\gamma\cos\frac{\delta}{2} - \hat{k}_y\gamma\sin\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_x\hat{k}_y\beta\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\sin\delta(1+\hat{k}_z^2)] \times$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^3\cos nF \tag{26}$$

is the correlation function, Appendix A, with

$$F \equiv \delta[1 - \beta\frac{\sin\delta/2}{\delta/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi], \quad k_0 = \Omega_0/c, \quad \delta = \Omega_0\gamma(\tau_2 - \tau_1), \tag{27}$$

and

$$f_d(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(i\omega_n)\exp(-i\omega_n(\tau_1 - \tau_2))}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_n^2 + i\Gamma(\omega_n^3 + \omega_n\frac{a^2}{c^2})} \tag{28}$$

is the oscillator selectivity function depending on inner structure of the oscillator, Section A.

( The symbol F without indexes is just a designation, not a force!)

We see that both the correlation function and the selectivity function depend on difference of times, $\tau_1 - \tau_2$, and then the force does not depend on time. Therefore we have omitted time in the function $< \tilde{F}^{(x)} >$ in (25). Index "d" means that corresponding quantities contain discrete variable $\omega_n$.

The term $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in the correlation function, with the help of Abel-Plana formula ( [13],[14], [15], [16])

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x)\,dx + \frac{f(0)}{2} + i\int_0^{\infty} dt\,\frac{f(it) - f(-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1}, \tag{29}$$

can be represented as a sum of two functions:

$$S_d \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^3\cos nF = \frac{1}{\Omega_0^4}\int_0^{\infty} d\,\omega\omega^3\cos(\omega\tilde{F}) + \frac{1}{\Omega_0^4}\int_0^{\infty} d\omega\frac{2\omega^3\cosh(\omega\tilde{F})}{e^{2\pi\omega/\Omega_0} - 1} \equiv S_c + S_r, \tag{30}$$

with $\tilde{F} = \frac{F}{\Omega_0}$.

The integrals in this expression can be computed:

$$S_c = \frac{6}{F^4}, \quad S_r = [\frac{3 - 2\sin^2(F/2)}{8\sin^4(F/2)} - \frac{6}{F^4}] \tag{31}$$

and $S_d$ and $S_c$ are divergent but their difference $S_r = S_d - S_c$ converges at $|\tau_2 - \tau_1| \to 0$:

$$\lim_{F\to 0} S_c = \frac{6}{F^4} = \infty, \quad \lim_{F\to 0} S_d = \infty, \quad \lim_{F\to 0} S_r = \frac{1}{120} \tag{32}$$

For further interpretation a presentation of $S_d$ as a series of fractions can also be useful:

$$S_d = \frac{6}{F^4} + 6\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2\pi n)^4}[\frac{1}{(1 + \frac{F}{2\pi n})^4} + \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{F}{2\pi n})^4}] = 6\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2\pi n + F)^4}. \tag{33}$$

So the correlation function with a discrete spectrum is a sum of two parts:

$$< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_d = < E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_c + < E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_r \tag{34}$$

where the first one, with index c, corresponds to $S_c$ with continuous spectrum and the second one to $S_r$. Then subtracting $< ... >_c$ correlation function from $< ... >_d$ we obtain convergent correlation function describing net contribution of the periodicity

$$< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_r = \frac{\hbar c k_0^4}{2\pi^2} \int do[-\hat{k}_x\gamma\cos\frac{\delta}{2} - \hat{k}_y\gamma\sin\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_x\hat{k}_y\beta\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\sin\delta(1+\hat{k}_z^2)] \times \frac{1}{\Omega_0^4}\int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{2\omega^3\cosh(\omega\tilde{F})}{e^{2\pi\omega/\Omega_0}-1} \quad (35)$$

or after some simplifications, Appendix B,

$$< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_r = \frac{\hbar c k_0^4}{2\pi^2} \times \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\sin\delta \int_0^\infty dx \frac{2x^3\cosh(x\delta))}{e^{2\pi x}-1} \int_0^\pi d\theta\sin\theta(1+\cos^2\theta) \times \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi\cosh(2x\beta\sin(\delta/2)\sin\theta\sin\phi) \quad (36)$$

Modification from $< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_d$ to $< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_r$ is similar to a local renormalization procedure used in Casimir effect theory [15](1.31). The renormalized correlation function $< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_r$ corresponds to a net change in vacuum fluctuations spectrum, which is due to the periodicity condition, and it is reasonable to use it instead of $< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_d$ in calculation of the observed force.

### 4.3 The Expression for the Renormalized Force $< F^{(x)} >_r \equiv < \frac{2}{3}(\dot{\vec{p}}\times\vec{B}_0)^{(x)} >_r$. The case $\beta \ll 1$, $\omega_0 \ll \Omega_0$.

The exact expression for the force $< \frac{2}{3}(\dot{\vec{p}}\times\vec{B}_0)^{(i)} >_r$ corresponding to the renormalized correlation function is determined by three equations: (25), (28), and (36). To represent it in simpler form turned out to be difficult. But in the case $\omega_0 \ll \Omega_0$ the selectivity function $f_d$ reduces to (88) and the force becomes

$$< \frac{2}{3}(\dot{\vec{p}}\times\vec{B}_0)^{(x)} >_r = -\frac{1}{3\pi^3}\frac{e^2\hbar}{mc^4}\Omega_0^3\beta\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n\,[1+(\Gamma\Omega_0\gamma)^2n^2(1+\frac{\beta^2\gamma^2}{n^2})^2]} \int_0^\pi d\theta\sin\theta(1+\cos^2\theta)\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \times \int_{-\pi}^\pi d\delta\sin(n\delta)\sin\delta \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^3\ \cosh(x\delta)\ \cosh(2x\beta\sin(\delta/2)\sin\theta\sin\phi)}{exp(2\pi x)-1}, \quad \omega_0 \ll \Omega_0. \quad (37)$$

This expression can also be significantly simplified when $\beta \ll 1$ and $\gamma \approx 1$. In the first order of $\beta$ the force is

$$< F^{(x)} >_r \approx < F^{(x)} >_r|_{(\beta=0)} + \frac{\partial < F^{(x)} >_r}{\partial\beta}\,|_{(\beta=0)} \times\beta. \quad (38)$$

In this case $\cosh(2x\beta\sin(\delta/2)\sin\theta\sin\phi)\mid_{(\beta=0)}=1$, integration over $\phi$, $\theta$ and $\delta$ can be separated and easily carried out. Then

$$< F^{(x)} >_r = -\frac{32}{9\pi^2}\frac{e^2\hbar}{mc^4}\Omega_0^3\beta\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{1+(\Gamma\Omega_0)^2n^2}\ \int_0^{\infty}dx\frac{x^4}{exp(\pi x)[x^2+(n+1)^2][x^2+(n-1)^2]},$$
$$\omega_0 \ll \Omega_0,\ \ \beta \ll 1,\ \ \gamma \approx 1. \quad (39)$$

Retaining only the first term in the sum and ignoring all other fast decreasing terms we have

$$< F^{(x)} >_r = -(0.013)\frac{32}{9\pi^2}\frac{e^2\hbar}{mc^4}\ \frac{\Omega_0^3}{1+(\Gamma\Omega_0)^2}\ \beta \quad (40)$$

or

$$< F^{(x)} >_r = -(0.013)\frac{32}{9\pi^2}\frac{e^2\hbar}{mc^5}\ \frac{\Omega_0^4}{1+(\Gamma\Omega_0)^2}\ R \quad (41)$$

Two approximations need to be explained. First, a small speed, $\beta \ll 1$, does not prevent large angular velocity. It just means that rotation radius can be very small. The condition $\omega_0 \ll \Omega_0$ means that instead of the oscillator we deal with a point-like particle but the particle oscillation is still restricted to only z-direction.

So the centripetal renormalized force $< F^{(x)} >_r$, at least in non relativistic case, is directed to the center of rotation and proportional to the radius of the circle.

# 5 Calculation of the Force $< F_1^{(1)} > \equiv < \frac{1}{3}p_{(3)}\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)} >$.

## 5.1 The Force $< F_1^{(1)} >$ in Terms of a Correlation Function and a Selectivity Function.

For the x-component of the force acting on the z-oscillator this expression gets the form

$$< F_1^{(1)} > \equiv < \frac{1}{3}p_{(3)}\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)} > = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^2}{m}\frac{1}{\omega_0^2-\omega_n^2+i\Gamma(\omega_n^3+\omega_n\frac{a^2}{c^2})}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{T_\gamma}\int_{-T_\gamma/2}^{T_\gamma/2}d\tau_1\exp(-i\omega_n(\tau_1-\tau_2))\times$$
$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)}(\tau_2) >, \quad (42)$$

or after changing the order of integration and summation

$$< F_1^{(1)} > = \frac{e^2}{3m}\ \frac{1}{T_\gamma}\int_{-T_\gamma/2}^{T_\gamma/2}d(\tau_1-\tau_2)f_{1,d}(\tau_1-\tau_2) < E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)}(\tau_2) > . \quad (43)$$

The function

$$f_{1,d}(\tau_1-\tau_2)=-2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\omega_n^2}\frac{\sin[\omega_n(\tau_1-\tau_2)+\phi_n]}{\sqrt{(1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2})^2+\Gamma^2\omega_n^2(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})^2}}, \tag{44}$$

is similar to the function $f_d$ in (28).

The angle $\phi_n$ in the last expression is defined by the equation

$$\sin\phi_n=\frac{1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2}}{\sqrt{(1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2})^2+\Gamma^2\omega_n^2(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})^2}}, \tag{45}$$

### 5.2 Calculation of the Correlation Function $< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)}(\tau_2) >$.

We do not supply $F_1^{(1)}$ with time arguments because we will see later that it does not depend on time. Introducing local coordinates $x^{(\nu)}(\tau)$ in an instantaneous inertial reference frame, defined by $\mu^i_{(\nu)}(\tau)$, connected with the center of the rotating z-oscillator, [17], [18](9.88)

$$x^i(\tau)=x_0^i(\tau)+\mu^i_{(\nu)}(\tau)x^{(\nu)},\quad i,\nu=1,2,3,4 \tag{46}$$

and using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(3)}&=-E_{(3)}=-F_{(34)}=-\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{(3)}}\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x^{(4)}}F_{ik},\\ \frac{\partial E^{(3)}}{\partial x^{(1)}}&=-\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{(3)}}\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x^{(4)}}\frac{\partial x^l}{\partial x^{(1)}}\frac{\partial F_{ik}}{\partial x^{(l)}},\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(1)}}(\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{(3)}}\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x^{(4)}})=0, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

we can easily represent the correlation function in terms of zero-point fields in the laboratory system as

$$\begin{aligned} &< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\frac{\partial E^{(3)}(\tau_2)}{\partial x^{(1)}} >=< [\beta\gamma\sin\alpha_1 H_2(\tau_1)+\beta\gamma\cos\alpha_1 H_1(\tau_1)-\gamma E_3(\tau_1)]\times[\frac{\beta\gamma}{2}\sin(2\alpha_2)\frac{\partial H_2(\tau_2)}{\partial x^1}+\\ &\beta\gamma\cos^2\alpha_2\frac{\partial H_1(\tau_2)}{\partial x^1}-\gamma\cos\alpha_2\frac{\partial E_3(\tau_2)}{\partial x^1}+\beta\gamma\sin^2\alpha_2\frac{\partial H_2(\tau_2)}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\beta\gamma}{2}\sin(2\alpha_2)\frac{\partial H_1(\tau_2)}{\partial x^2}-\gamma\sin\alpha_2\frac{\partial E_3(\tau_2)}{\partial x^2}] > . \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Spatial derivatives of the fields are taken at locations $\vec{x}_0(t_i)$ of the oscillator center(7) at the times $t_1$ and $t_2$. So from (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\vec{E}(\vec{x},t_i)}{\partial x^l}|_{\vec{x}=\vec{x}_0(t_i)}&=k_0\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2}\int d\theta d\phi\sin\theta(-k_n^l)k_n^2\hat{\epsilon}(\vec{k}_n,\lambda)h_0(\omega_n)\sin[\vec{k}_n\vec{x}_0(t_i)-\omega_n t_i-\theta(\vec{k_n},\lambda)]\\ \frac{\partial\vec{H}(\vec{x},t_i)}{\partial x^l}|_{\vec{x}=\vec{x}_0(t_i)}&=k_0\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2}\int d\theta d\phi\sin\theta(-k_n^l)k_n^2[\hat{k},\hat{\epsilon}(\vec{k}_n,\lambda)]h_0(\omega_n)\sin[\vec{k}_n\vec{x}_0(t_i)-\omega_n t_i-\theta(\vec{k_n},\lambda)] \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

After simple but long and tedious calculations, similar to ones used for (26), we come to the expression for the correlation function $< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)}(\tau_2) >$:

$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)}(\tau_2) >= -\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2}k_0^5 \int d\theta d\phi \sin\theta \times \{\hat{k}_1 \cos\frac{\delta}{2}((\beta\gamma)^2\cos\delta+\gamma^2) + \hat{k}_2 \sin\frac{\delta}{2}((\beta\gamma)^2\cos\delta + \gamma^2) + \hat{k}_1\hat{k}_2(-2)\beta\gamma^2\cos^2\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_2^2(-\beta\gamma^2)\sin\delta + \hat{k}_1^3(\beta\gamma)^2(-\cos^3\frac{\delta}{2}) + \hat{k}_1^2\hat{k}_2(\beta\gamma)^2(-\sin\frac{\delta}{2}\cos^2\frac{\delta}{2}) + \hat{k}_1\hat{k}_2^2(\beta\gamma)^2\cos\frac{\delta}{2}\sin^2\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k_2^3}(\beta\gamma)^2\sin^3\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_1\hat{k}_3^2(-\gamma^2)\cos\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_2\hat{k}_3^2(-\gamma^2)\sin\frac{\delta}{2}\} \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^4\sin(-nF) \tag{50}$$

As in (35), the correlation function depends on difference of times $\delta = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 = \Omega_0\gamma(\tau_2 - \tau_1)$ as it is supposed to be for the correlation function of a stationary process of rotation.

### 5.3 Analysis and Renormalization of the Correlation Function $< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)}(\tau_2) >$.

Using the Abel-Plana formula again we obtain

$$S_1 \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^4\sin(+nF) = S_{1,c} + S_{1,r}, \tag{51}$$

$$S_{1,c} = \int_0^{\infty} dt\ t^4\sin tF, \tag{52}$$

$$S_{1,r} = -\int_0^{\infty} dt\frac{2t^4\sinh tF}{\exp(2\pi t)-1}. \tag{53}$$

The first integral is divergent if $|\tau_2 - \tau_1| \to 0$ ( and $F \to 0$) because

$$S_{1,c} = \frac{24}{F^5}. \tag{54}$$

The second integral can also be represented as a sum

$$S_{1,r} = 24\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[\frac{1}{(2\pi n+F)^5} - \frac{1}{(2\pi n-F)^5}], \tag{55}$$

and then the correlation function $S_1$ can also be given in the form

$$S_1 = 24\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2\pi n+F)^5}. \tag{56}$$

It is obvious that $S_{1,r}$ is convergent and for $F \ll 1$,

$$S_{1,r} \approx -\frac{15}{16\times 945}F. \tag{57}$$

For the reasons, explained in Section 4.2, the renormalized correlation function corresponding $S_{1,r}$ will be used to calculate the renormalized force $F^{(1)}_{1,r}$. It has the form:

$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)}(\tau_2) >_r = -\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2}k_0^5 \int d\theta d\phi \sin\theta \times \{\hat{k}_1 \cos\frac{\delta}{2}((\beta\gamma)^2\cos\delta + \gamma^2) + \hat{k}_2 \sin\frac{\delta}{2}((\beta\gamma)^2\cos\delta + \gamma^2) + \hat{k}_1\hat{k}_2(-2)\beta\gamma^2\cos^2\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_2^2(-\beta\gamma^2)\sin\delta + \hat{k}_1^3(\beta\gamma)^2(-\cos^3\frac{\delta}{2}) + \hat{k}_1^2\hat{k}_2(\beta\gamma)^2(-\sin\frac{\delta}{2}\cos^2\frac{\delta}{2}) + \hat{k}_1\hat{k}_2^2(\beta\gamma)^2\cos\frac{\delta}{2}\sin^2\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_2^3(\beta\gamma)^2\sin^3\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_1\hat{k}_3^2(-\gamma^2)\cos\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_2\hat{k}_3^2(-\gamma^2)\sin\frac{\delta}{2}\} \times (+1)\int_0^\infty dt \frac{2t^4\sinh tF}{\exp(2\pi t)-1}. \tag{58}$$

### 5.4 The Expression for the Force $< F^{(1)}_1 >_r \equiv < \frac{1}{3}p_{(3)}\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)} >_r$. The Case $\beta \ll 1$.

The expression (58), pretty complicated,can be significantly simplified if $\beta \ll 1$. Then $F \approx \delta$, the last integral over t does not depend on $\theta$ and $\phi$, and the integral over angular variables $\theta$ and $\phi$ can be easily found. The only non zero contribution comes from the $\hat{k}_2^2$ term.

The renormalized correlation function takes the form:

$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(1)}E^{(3)}(\tau_2) >_r = (-\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2}k_0^5)\frac{4\pi}{3}(-\beta\gamma^2)\sin\delta \times \int_0^\infty dt \frac{2t^4\sinh t\delta}{\exp(2\pi t)-1}, \quad \beta \ll 1. \tag{59}$$

With this renormalized correlation function and $f_{1,d}$ defined in (44) , the renormalized force $< F^{(1)}_1 >_r$ corresponding to (43 ) becomes

$$< F^{(1)}_1 >_r = \frac{e^2}{3m}\frac{1}{T_\gamma}\int_{-T_\gamma/2}^{T_\gamma/2} d(\tau_1-\tau_2) \times (-2)\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\omega_n^2}\frac{\sin[\omega_n(\tau_1-\tau_2)+\phi_n]}{\sqrt{(1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2})^2 + \Gamma^2\omega_n^2(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})^2}} \times (-\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2}k_0^5)\frac{4\pi}{3}(-\beta\gamma^2)\sin\delta \times (+1)\int_0^\infty dx \frac{2x^4\sinh x\delta}{\exp(2\pi x)-1}, \quad \beta \ll 1, \quad \delta = \Omega_0\gamma(\tau_2-\tau_1). \tag{60}$$

Moving all time-dependent terms to the right we obtain

$$< F^{(1)}_1 >_r = \frac{e^2}{3m}\ (-2)\ (-\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2}k_0^5)\frac{4\pi}{3}(-\beta\gamma^2)\ (+1)\ \times \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\omega_n^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2})^2 + \Gamma^2\omega_n^2(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})^2}} \times \int_0^\infty dx \frac{2x^4\ I_{1,n}(x)}{\exp(2\pi x)-1}, \tag{61}$$

where

$$I_{1,n}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{T_\gamma}\int_{-T_\gamma/2}^{T_\gamma/2} d(\tau_1-\tau_2)\ \sin[\omega_n(\tau_1-\tau_2)+\phi_n]\ \sin\delta\ \sinh x\delta = \frac{1}{\pi}(-1)^{n+1}\sinh(\pi x)\sin\phi_n \frac{n^2-1-x^2}{[(n-1)^2+x^2][(n+1)^2+x^2]}, \tag{62}$$

or, after some rearrangements,

$$< F_1^{(1)} >_r = -\frac{4}{9\pi^2}\frac{e^2\hbar c}{m}k_0^5\beta\gamma^2 \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\omega_n^2}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}\sin\phi_n\ J_{1,n}}{\sqrt{(1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2})^2+\Gamma^2\omega_n^2(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})^2}}, \tag{63}$$

and

$$< F_1^{(1)} >_r = -\frac{4}{9\pi^2}\frac{e^2\hbar}{mc^4}\Omega_0^3\beta \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}\ (1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\Omega_0^2n^2})\ J_{1,n}}{n^2((1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\Omega_0^2n^2})^2+\Gamma^2\Omega_0^2n^2)}, \quad \beta \ll 1, \quad \gamma \approx 1, \tag{64}$$

$$J_{1,n} \equiv \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{x^4\exp(-\pi x)(n^2-1-x^2)}{[(n-1)^2+x^2][(n+1)^2+x^2]}. \tag{65}$$

# 6 Calculation of the Force $< F_2^{(1)} > \equiv < \frac{2}{3}p_{(3)}\partial^{(3)}E_0^{(1)} >$

## 6.1 The Force $F_2^{(1)}$ in Terms of a Correlation Function and a Selectivity Function.

For the x-component of the $F_2^{(1)}$ the expression is

$$< F_2^{(1)} > = \frac{2e^2}{3m}\ \frac{1}{T_\gamma}\int_{-T_\gamma/2}^{T_\gamma/2} d(\tau_1-\tau_2) f_{1,d}(\tau_1-\tau_2) < E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(3)}E^{(1)}(\tau_2) > . \tag{66}$$

The function $f_{1,d}$ is given in ( 44 ), and the correlation function $< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(3)}E^{(1)}(\tau_2) >$ is

$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(3)}E^{(1)}(\tau_2) > = < [-\gamma E_3(\tau_1) + \beta\gamma\cos(\alpha_1)H_1(\tau_1) + \beta\gamma\sin(\alpha_1)H_2(\tau_1)] \times [-\beta\gamma\frac{\partial H_3(\tau_2)}{\partial x^3} - \gamma\cos(\alpha_2)\frac{\partial E_1(\tau_2)}{\partial x^3} - \gamma\sin(\alpha_2)\frac{\partial E_2(\tau_2)}{\partial x^3}] > . \tag{67}$$

## 6.2 The Correlation Function $< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(3)}E^{(1)}(\tau_2) >$ and its Renormalization.

After tedious calculations, similar to ones leading to ( 26 ) and described in Appendix A, we come to the expression

$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(3)}E^{(1)}(\tau_2) > = -\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2}k_0^5\gamma^2\int do\ \hat{k}_3^2\ [(1-\beta^2)\hat{k_1}\cos(\delta/2) + (1+\beta^2)\hat{k}_2\sin(\delta/2)] \times \sum_0^{\infty} n^4\sin nF \tag{68}$$

with the sum term like one in (26). Then the renormalized correlation function is

$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(3)}E^{(1)}(\tau_2) >_r = -\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2}k_0^5\gamma^2\int do\ \hat{k}_3^2\ [(1-\beta^2)\hat{k_1}\cos(\delta/2) + (1+\beta^2)\hat{k}_2\sin(\delta/2)] \times (-1)\int_0^{\infty} dt\frac{2t^4\sinh(tF)}{\exp(2\pi t)-1}. \tag{69}$$

### 6.3 The Force $< F_2^{(1)} > \equiv < \frac{2}{3} p_{(3)} \partial^{(3)} E_0^{(1)} >$ for $\beta \ll 1$.

It is easy to see that

$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(3)}E^{(1)}(\tau_2) >_{r,\,\beta\ll 1,\,\gamma\approx 1} = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2} k_0^5 \int d0\ \hat{k}_3\ [\hat{k}_1 \cos(\delta/2) + \hat{k}_2 \sin(\delta/2)] \times \int_0^\infty dt\ \frac{2t^4\{\sinh(t\delta) - 2\ \hat{k}_2\ t\ \beta \sin(\delta/2)\cosh(t\delta)\}}{\exp(2\pi t) - 1}, \tag{70}$$

and, because

$$\int d0 \hat{k}_3 \hat{k}_1 = \int d0 \hat{k}_3 \hat{k}_2 = \int d0 \hat{k}_3 \hat{k}_1 \hat{k}_2 = \int d0 \hat{k}_3 \hat{k}_2^2 = 0, \tag{71}$$

this renormalized correlation function is zero,

$$< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)\partial^{(3)}E^{(1)}(\tau_2) >_{r,\,\beta\ll 1,\,\gamma\approx 1} = 0, \tag{72}$$

and the corresponding (renormalized ) force is also zero:

$$< F_2^{(1)} >_{r,\,\beta\ll 1,\,\gamma\approx 1} \equiv < \frac{2}{3} p_{(3)} \partial^{(3)} E_0^{(1)} >_{r,\,\beta\ll 1,\,\gamma\approx 1} = 0. \tag{73}$$

## 7 The Total Renormalized Force $< \tilde{F}^{(1)} >_r$: Estimation for $\beta \ll 1$, $\gamma \approx 1$, and $\omega_0 \ll \Omega_0$.

From (41), (64) and (73) we obtain the expression for the total renormalized force:

$$< F^{(1)} >_r + < F_1^{(1)} >_r + < F_2^{(1)} >_r = < \frac{2}{3}(\dot{\vec{p}} \times \vec{H}_0)^{(1)} >_r + < \frac{1}{3} p_{(3)} \partial^{(1)} E^{(3)} >_r + < \frac{2}{3} p_{(3)} \partial^{(3)} E_0^{(1)} > = -\frac{4}{9\pi^2} \frac{e^2\hbar}{mc^4} \Omega_0^3 \beta \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \tilde{J}_n}{1 + \Gamma^2 \Omega_0^2 n^2},\ \ \beta \ll 1,\ \ \gamma \approx 1, \quad \omega_0 \ll \Omega_0, \tag{74}$$

$$\tilde{J}_n \equiv \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^4 \exp(-\pi x)(12 + \frac{n^2 - 1 - x^2}{n^2})}{[(n-1)^2 + x^2][(n+1)^2 + x^2]}. \tag{75}$$

It is easy to find the values of integrals $\tilde{J}_n$. For example, $\tilde{J}_1 \approx 0.143823$, $\tilde{J}_2 \approx 0.0317016$, $\tilde{J}_3 \approx 0.00867892$, $\tilde{J}_4 \approx 0.0031658$, $\tilde{J}_5 \approx 0.00139711$, $\tilde{J}_6 \approx 0.000703877$ and so on. So alternating series is decreasing by absolute value significantly. Then we can ignore all terms starting from the second one to have

$$< F^{(1)} >_r + < F_1^{(1)} >_r + < F_2^{(1)} >_r \approx -\frac{4}{9\pi^2} \frac{e^2\hbar}{mc^4} \Omega_0^3 \beta \times \frac{0.143823.}{1 + \Gamma^2 \Omega_0^2},\ \ \beta \ll 1,\ \ \gamma \approx 1,\ \ \omega_0 \ll \Omega_0 \tag{76}$$

From the physical point of view it means that all high harmonics with small wave lengths can be defied. The main contribution to the force comes from the first harmonic with wave length $2\pi c/\Omega_0$ which is much greater than the circle radius $\beta c/\Omega_0$ and therefore the oscillator size. It justifies electric-dipole approximation used in the force calculation.

The total force has a negative value. So the force is directed to the center of rotation. This feature of the force is discussed in the next section.

# 8 About the Possibility of Non Relativistic Rotation of an Oscillator in Zero-Point Field, Without Any Other External Forces.

The force (76) has been calculated in an instantaneous inertial frame $I_\tau$ associated with a tetrad $\mu_\tau$. Using a Lorentz transform it is easy to determine a new tetrad $\lambda_\tau$, and the force in it, which has the same orientation in 3-space as $\mu_\tau$ but is at rest in the lab system. For small velocities, $\beta \ll 1$, (76) in $\lambda_\tau$ will not change. The same is true for the acceleration of the oscillator center, and its value is

$$a = \Omega_0 v = c\Omega_0\beta. \tag{77}$$

If the force ( 76) is the only averaged force acting on the oscillator center then

$$mc\Omega_0\beta = < F^{(1)} >_r + < F_1^{(1)} >_r + < F_2^{(1)} >_r . \tag{78}$$

All quantities in this equation have the same direction, to the center of rotation, and we omit their negative sign. From (78) follows

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{2mc^2}{\hbar} \times \frac{3}{4}\Big( \frac{c\hbar/e^2}{0.143823/\pi^2 - e^2/c\hbar} \Big)^{0.5} \tag{79}$$

for the frequency rotation without external forces and

$$R = \frac{c\beta}{\Omega_0}, \quad \beta \ll 1 \tag{80}$$

for the radius of rotation.

Let us compare R with characteristic lengths of the electron, for example, electron classical radius $r_{cl}$ and Zitterbewegung radius $r_{zbw}$:

$$r_{cl} = \frac{e^2}{mc^2}, \quad r_{zbw} = \frac{\hbar}{2mc} = r_{cl}\frac{1}{2}\frac{c\hbar}{e^2} = \frac{r_{cl}}{2\alpha}, \tag{81}$$

where $\alpha$ is a fine structure constant, and $\frac{1}{\alpha} = 137.04$.

It is easy to see that in non relativistic case with $\beta \ll 1$ radius of rotation in zero-point field without external force should be much less than the classical electron radius:

$$R = r_{cl}(0.665243)\beta \ll r_{cl}. \tag{82}$$

The trajectories with such small radii can not be observed experimentally because our semi classical approach does not work for $R \ll r_{cl}$. The positive result, $R \gg r_{cl}$, would have contradicted our intuition and experimental observations. The issue about possibility of stable orbits in the vacuum should and will be addressed to colored particles ( quarks) moving in a colored non abelian vacuum field. It is the subject of the next paper.

There are also some works where a classical description of quark motion is being developed and even applied to experimental results [5], [19], [20], [21].

**APPENDIX**

# A Appendix: Calculation of the Correlation Function $< E^{(3)}(\tau_1)H^{(2)}(\tau_2) >_d$

.

Calculation of $< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_d$ in (25) consists of several steps:

1. Inserting $E^{(z)}(\tau_1)$ and $H^{(y)}(\tau_2)$ from (19) and (17) to bilinear expression $< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_d$, we obtain pretty complicated expression with two double sums, $\sum_{n_1}\sum_{n_2}$ and $\sum_{\lambda_1}\sum_{\lambda_2}$, and double integral over the angles $\int do_1 \int do_2 \equiv \int d\theta_1 d\phi_1 \sin\theta_1 \int d\theta_2 d\phi_2 \sin\theta_2$.

2. Using (18) and summing over $\lambda_2$, $n_2$, and integrating over $\int do_2$, we come to the expression with single sums $\sum_{\lambda_1}$ and $\sum_{n_1}$, and an integral over angles $\int do_1$.

3. Using summation over $\lambda_1$ and using (14) we come to the expression with one integral over angular variables and one sum over $n_1$, $\sum_{n_1}\int d\theta_1 d\phi_1 \sin\theta_1$ or, after notation change, $\sum_n \int d\theta d\phi \sin\theta$ . This expression is still pretty long and depends on two parameters $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ defined in (20).

4. Introducing new parameters according to

$$\alpha \equiv 1/2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \quad \delta \equiv \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 = \Omega_0\gamma(\tau_2 - \tau_1), \tag{83}$$

we can change integration variables

$$\hat{k}_x = \hat{k}'_x \cos\alpha - \hat{k}'_y \sin\alpha, \quad \hat{k}_y = \hat{k}'_x \sin\alpha + \hat{k}'_y \cos\alpha, \tag{84}$$

which is equivalent to $\phi' = \phi - \alpha$ and keeps $do$ invariant.

Finally we come to the expression for the CF (26)

$$< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_d = \frac{\hbar c k_0^4}{2\pi^2}\int do[-\hat{k}_x\gamma\cos\frac{\delta}{2} - \hat{k}_y\gamma\sin\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_x\hat{k}_y\beta\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\sin\delta(1+\hat{k}_z^2)] \times$$
$$\times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^3\cos nF, \quad F \equiv \delta[1 - \beta\frac{\sin\delta/2}{\delta/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi], \quad k_0 = \Omega_0/c. \quad (85)$$

We omitted here upper index "$\prime$" and use dummy variables $\theta$, $\phi$, and $\hat{k}_i$ instead of $\theta'$, $\phi'$, and $\hat{k}'_i$.

So the CF depends on the difference $\tau_2 - \tau_1$, an expected feature, and index d means discrete spectrum of zero-point radiation due to the periodicity condition we use.

The function $f_d$ can also be written in the form:

$$f_d(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\omega_n}\frac{\cos(\omega_n(\tau_1-\tau_2)+\phi_n)}{\sqrt{(1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2})^2 + \Gamma^2\omega_n^2(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})^2}} \quad (86)$$

The cosine and sine functions are defined as follows

$$\cos\varphi_n = \frac{\omega_n\Gamma(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})}{\sqrt{(1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2})^2 + \Gamma^2\omega_n^2(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})^2}}, \quad \sin\varphi_n = \frac{1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2}}{\sqrt{(1-\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_n^2})^2 + \Gamma^2\omega_n^2(1+\frac{a^2}{\omega_n^2c^2})^2}}. \quad (87)$$

The function $f_d$ is simplified significantly if $\omega_0 \ll \Omega_0$. Then

$$f_d(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = \frac{2}{\Omega_0\gamma}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{n(\Gamma\Omega_0\gamma)\cos(n\delta) + \sin(n\delta)}{1 + (\Gamma\Omega_0\gamma)^2n^2(1+\frac{\beta^2\gamma^2}{n^2})^2}, \quad \delta = \Omega_0\gamma(\tau_2-\tau_1), \quad \omega_0 \ll \Omega_0. \quad (88)$$

# B Appendix: Simplification of the Renormalized Correlation Function $< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_r$

Using dimensionless variables in integral over $\omega$ in expression (35), more convenient for calculations, we have

$$< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_r = \frac{\hbar c k_0^4}{2\pi^2}\int do[-\hat{k}_x\gamma\cos\frac{\delta}{2} - \hat{k}_y\gamma\sin\frac{\delta}{2} + \hat{k}_x\hat{k}_y\beta\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\sin\delta(1+\hat{k}_z^2)] \times$$
$$\int_0^{\infty} dx\frac{2x^3\cosh(x\delta[1-\beta\frac{\sin\delta/2}{\delta/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi])}{e^{2\pi x}-1}, \quad (89)$$
$$\delta = \Omega_0\gamma(\tau_2-\tau_1).$$

Changing the order of integration, it easy to see that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi\hat{k}_x\cosh(x\delta[1-\beta\frac{\sin\delta/2}{\delta/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi]) = 0,$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \hat{k}_y \cosh(x\delta[1-\beta\frac{\sin\delta/2}{\delta/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi]) = 0,$$
$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \hat{k}_x\hat{k}_y \cosh(x\delta[1-\beta\frac{\sin\delta/2}{\delta/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi]) = 0,$$
$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \cosh(x\delta[1-\beta\frac{\sin\delta/2}{\delta/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi]) = \cosh(x\delta)\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \cosh(2x\beta\sin\delta/2\sin\theta\sin\phi), \tag{90}$$

and

$$< E^{(z)}(\tau_1)H^{(y)}(\tau_2) >_r = \frac{\hbar c k_0^4}{2\pi^2} \times \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\sin\delta \int_0^\infty dx \frac{2x^3\cosh(x\delta))}{e^{2\pi x}-1} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin\theta(1+\cos^2\theta) \times$$
$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \cosh(2x\beta\sin(\delta/2)\sin\theta\sin\phi)$$

This expression is used in (36)

# C Bibliography

# References


[1] Yefim S. Levin, Periodicity, Thermal Effects, and Vacuum Force: Rotation in Random Classical Zero-Point Radiation, http://archive.org/details/arxiv-1003.4458

[2] Philip R. Johnson, B.L. Hu, Stochastic Theory of Relativistic Particles Moving in a Quantum Field: I. Influence Functional and Langevin Equation, arXiv:quant-ph/0012137v4 1 June 2001

[3] N.D. Birell, P.C.W Davis, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge:Cambridge University Press, 1982).

[4] T.H.Boyer, Thermal effects of acceleration for a classical dipole oscillator in classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation. *Phys. Rev.* **D 29**, 1089 (1984).

[5] S. K. Wong, IL Nuovo Cimento Vol. LXV A, N. 4, 1970. Field and Particle Equations for the Classical Yang-Mills Field and Particles with Isotopic Spin.

[6] Peter W.Milonni, The Quantum Vacuum. An Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics, 1993. Academic Press.

[7] Shih Yuin Lin, B. L. Hu, New Insights into Uniformly Accelerated Detectro in a Quantum Field, arXiv:gr-qc/0610024v1 6 Oct 2006.

[8] L.H. Ford, Casimir force between a dielectric sphere and a wall: A model for amplification of vacuum fluctuations. *Phys. Rev.* **A 58**,No 6, 4279 (1998).

[9] J. L. Synge, Relativity: The General Theory (North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, New York, Interscience Publishers, 1960)

[10] M. Gell-Mann and J. B. Hartle, Phys.Rev.D 47, 3345 (1993)

[11] T.H.Boyer, Thermal effects of acceleration through classical radiation. *Phys. Rev.* **D 21**, 2137 (1980).

[12] A.S. Davydov, Quantum Mechanics, Pergamon Press, 1968

[13] Harry Bateman, Higher Transcendental Functions, v.1, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc, 1953, formula 1.9 (11), p. 22.

[14] A.A.Grib,S.G. Mamaev, V. M. Mostepanenko, Quantum Effects in Strong External Fields, 1980 (Russian).

[15] V.M.Mostepanenko, N.N. Trunov, The casimir Effect and its Application. Oxford Science Publications, 1996.

[16] M. A. Evgrafov, Analitic Functions ( In Russian), Nauka, M., 1968, p. 264.

[17] C.Moller,The theory of ralativity (Oxford, At the Clarendon Press,1952)

[18] C.Moller,The theory of ralativity (Oxford, At the Clarendon Press,second edition, 1972)

[19] H. Arodz, Acta Physica Polonica, Vol. B13, No 7, 519-537, ( 1982 ), A Classical Colored Particle With Spin in an External Yang-Mills Field.

[20] H. Arodz, Acta Physica Polonica, Vol. B14, No 11, 825, ( 1983 ), On Classical Chromodynamics of External Charges and Fields.

[21] W. Cassing, V.V. Goloviznin, S.V. Molodtsov, A.M. Snigirev, arXiv:1302.1313v2 [nucl-th] 16 Dec 2013, Non-Abelian Color Fields from Relativistic Color Charge Configurations in the Classical Limit.